Governor Kasich introduced his two year education funding proposal to State of Ohio Superintendents in January 2013. This budget period would include the 2013 – 2014 school year and the 2014 – 2015 school year.

In speaking to that proposal, the Governor indicated that if your district was classified as a "poor" or significantly below median average school district, your district would receive an increased level of State funding.

In the event your district was classified as a "wealthy" or significantly above median average school district, your district would not see an increase in State funding.

Common measures of wealth are Property Valuation Per Pupil and Median (Average) Family Income.

Comparative data for West Branch Local Schools versus the Statewide Average follows:

West Branch Local Schools Three Year Average Property Valuation Per Pupil = \$108,453 versus Statewide Average = \$140,062 (23% below average).

West Branch Local Schools 2010 Average Family Income = \$43,613 versus Statewide 2010 Average Family Income = \$50,282 (13% below average).

Mahoning County

FY 2009 School Funding Formula		Column B - A		F.Y. 2014 Executive Budget Proposal
	Column A	Difference	Column B	
#15 Senmula ADM (Kaat 0.3 Count)	2.200/54	(144.64)	2;056.00	Est: Formula ADM: (Kg at 1.0 Count)
182 East Section 25,732	\$ \$12,614,058	(\$2,334,068)		Total Core Resources = ADM x \$5,000 PP
E. Palvation Charge-off (23 Mals)	(\$5.012,245)	\$652,222		Local Share of Core Resources (20 mills)
Add-on Building Nocks	\$112.020	(\$112,024)	\$0	
5 Basic Farmula Aid Funding	\$7,613,846	(\$1,793,870)	\$5,819,976	Notal/Core Resources
6 Porterly Based Assistance (PRA)	\$4,762	\$310,820	\$315,582	Economically Disadvantaged
7 Party Ata	\$1.333,770	(\$413,516)	\$942,254	Targeted Resources ("Tier 2")
A 8 (Table Palace And Chargo-off Supp. (28 Will Geo Ata)	\$1,154,005	(\$1,154,006)	\$0.	
9 i Base Cost Funding State Share	\$10,123,334	(\$3,050,572)	\$7,077,8112,	Base Gost Funding State Share
210 Base Gost Funding - Per Publi	\$1,602	(\$1,160)	\$3,443	Base Cost Funding = Per Pupil
		\$1,077	\$1,077/	English Itanguage Learners
11 Excess Cox Syrop + Staff Syrop.	\$ 223,755	(\$228,766)	\$0	
12 Special Special Ed Weighter	\$338,562	\$109,153	\$945,7150	Students with Disabilities
13 Shedard	\$15.868	\$56,925	\$102,7937	Gifted
		\$0	\$0	Early Childhood Access
15 Programme 15 15 St. Visit Trotal Other shinding	\$ 7.5 27 1, 111, 105	(\$61,611)	\$1,049,585	Total Other Funding
k 16 Fig. 1 Was And San Frains Phis Other	\$11,239,530.	(\$3,112,183)	\$8,427,397	JiotaliBase Eunding Plus Other
u [多]。 See Carlot of Technical Sapara (Other - Period of the	\$3,407	(\$1,154)	\$3,953	Motal(Base:+ Other — Per Pupil
18 Gurantee Functing	\$ 46.50	\$2,005,774	\$2;005,774	Guarantee Eunding
19 The state of th	\$30,239,330	(\$1,106,409)	\$10,133,17.1	Totalfaunding with Guarantee
20 Perf Pupil Bunding with Guarantes		(\$ IMB)	\$4,929	Per Pupil Funding with Guarantee
Outside of Formula: Career Tech Ed	\$97,909	U1/87	TBD	West Branch Local SD
Reg. & Spec. Ed. Transportation	\$ 845,681		TBD	ODE Typology
Preschool Unit Funding	\$0		TBD	Rural

2007 Student Head Count 2,458.00 2012 Student Head Count 2,258.00

2011 ADM % Poverty: 34.8% <----

State Median: 38.80%

Analysis Performed by:



Executive Budget Funding is not capped, instead the full 2014 formula amount is presented.

Executive Budget Estimates Provided by Ohio Office of Budget Management

2009 School Funding (SF-3) provided by Final #3 Version

ouping of line items for comparison is an attempt to match "like" revenue types.

Public Finance Resources, Inc.

www.PFRCFO.com

PFR is providing this tool to clients as a training opportunity to learn more about school funding and is not intended for financial projections or estimating.

Assuming that the West Branch Local Schools 2013 -2014 school year Estimated Formula ADM is in fact 2,056 students, the District State funding of \$10,133,171 for that school year would be approximately \$366,000 lower than the 2008 - 2009 school year funding as a result of a \$178 decline in per pupil funding under the Governor's proposal.

File:Dan Telzrow FY 13 Forecast/School Funding Formula Comparison 3/22/2013 11:00

Governor Proposed Funding System Component Comparison Side by Side With Fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula

FY 2009 School Funding Formula - West Branch Local

FY 2014 Executive Budget Proposal - West Branch Local

Base Cost Funding:			Column B- A		
	Formula ADM (Kg at .5 Count)			Column B 2,056.00	Estimated Formula ADM (Kg at 1.0 Count)
	Basic Aid = ADM x \$5,732	\$12,614,068	(\$2,334,068)	\$10,280,000	Total Core Resources = ADM x \$5,000 Per Pupil
	Valuation Charge-off (23 Mills)	(\$5,112,246)	\$652,222	(\$4,460,024)	Local Share of Core Resources (20 Mills)
	Add On Building Blocks	\$112,024	(\$112,024)	\$0	No Comparable Resource
	Basic Aid Funding (Basic Aid - Valuation Chargeoff)	\$7,613,846	(\$1,793,870)	\$5,819,976	Core Funding
	Per Pupil Basic Aid Funding	\$3,460	(\$629)	\$2,831	Per Pupil Core Funding

West Branch Local receives less base cost per pupil funding through the fiscal 2014 Executive Funding Proposal than the fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula.

The reduction in Basic Aid/Core Resources of \$732 per pupil is consistent across all school districts. The decline in per pupil core funding is somewhat moderated by the decrease in local share (20 mills versus 23 mills) expected to be paid by the local taxpayer under the Executive Budget Proposal.

Add-on Building Blocks funding in fiscal 2009 was a per pupil allocation and was not impacted by relative wealth.

Had the Building Block allocation of approximately \$51 per student not been provided, Per Per Pupil Basic Aid Funding would have been \$680/student less under the fiscal 2014 Executive Funding Proposal in comparison to the fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula.

File:Dan Telzrow FY 13 Forecast/School Funding Formula Comparison 3/22/2013 10:55

Governor Proposed Funding System Component Comparison Side by Side With Fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula

FY 2009 School Funding Formula - West Branch Local

FY 2014 Executive Budget Proposal - West Branch Local

Wealth Equalization Funding:		Column B- A		
Formula ADM (Kg at .5 Count)	Column A 2,200.64	Difference (144.64)	Column B 2,056.00	Estimated Formula ADM (Kg at 1.0 Count)
Parity Aid	\$1,355,770	(413,516)	\$942,254	Targeted Resources (Tier 2)
Charge-off Supplement (23 Mill Gap Aid)	\$1,154,006	(\$1,154,006)	\$0	No Comparable Resource
Wealth Equalization Funding:	\$2,509,776	(\$1,567,522)	\$942,254	Targeted Resource Funding
Per Pupil Wealth Equalization Funding	\$1,140	(\$682)	\$458	Per Pupil Targeted Resource Funding

West Branch Local receives less wealth equalization funding through the fiscal 2014 Executive Funding proposal than the fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula.

At the same time, the Executive Budget proposes to include an additional 120 districts receiving these funds. In 2009, only the poorest sixty percent of districts qualified for Parity Aid and or Charge off Supplement/Gap Aid while 80% would qualify for Targeted Resources in 2014. With less to distribute per district, many poorer districts will receive less of this funding overall, as is the case with West Branch Local, when the FY 2014 Executive Budget Proposal is compared to the FY 2009 School Funding Formula.

The overall amount of statewide aid in Targeted Resources is a fixed amount. The expansion of the number of districts receiving Targeted Resource aid generally shifts some resources from the lower wealth districts to an additional 120 higher wealth districts that had generally not received either Parity Aid or Charge-off Supplement/Gap Aid under the FY 2009 School Funding Formula.

File:Dan Telzrow FY 13 Forecast/School Funding Formula Comparison 3/22/2013 10:50

Governor Proposed Funding System Component Comparison Side by Side With Fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula

FY 2009 School Funding Formula - West Branch Local

FY 2014 Executive Budget Proposal - West Branch Local

Guarantee Funding:		Column B- A		
Formula ADM (Kg at .5 Count)	Column A 2,200.64	Difference (144.64)	Column B 2,056.00	Estimated Formula ADM (Kg at 1.0 Count)
Transitional Aid Guarantee Funding:	\$0	2,005,774	\$2,005,774	Guarantee Funding
Transitional Aid Guarantee Funding	\$0	\$2,005,774	\$2,005,774	Guarantee Funding
Per Pupil Transitional Aid Guarantee Funding	\$ 0	\$976	\$976	Per Pupil Guarantee Funding

West Branch Local receives \$976 more in per pupil guarantee funding through the fiscal 2014 Executive Funding proposal than in the fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula.

The Fiscal 2014 proposed per pupil funding for West Branch Schools is \$4,929. Per pupil funding, absent the guarantee, is \$3,953.

Absent the guarantee portion of funding, Fiscal 2014 proposed per pupil funding for West Branch Schools would be \$3,953.

Given The guarantee funding In the proposal of \$2,005,774 and funding absent the guarantee of \$3,953, enrollment growth must exceed 507 students or a 25% enrollment increase To receive any increase In funding

The Governor has indicated his wish to eliminate guarantee funding over time (20% of Distirct's proposed funding).

File:Dan Telzrow FY 13 Forecast/Executive Budget School Funding 3/22/2013 10:28

Executive Budget School Funding Impact On October Five Year Forecast

Line 15.010 Unreserved Fund Balance June 30th - October 2012 Forecast	Fiscal 2014 \$840,503	Fiscal 2015
Line 15.010 Unreserved Fund Balance June 30th - October 2012 Forecast		(\$961,977)
Less: October 2012 State Aid Forecast	(\$11,084,127)	(\$11,341,932)
Add: Fiscal 2014 Executive Budget Funding Proposal/Other State Aid	\$11,074,696	\$11,099,416
Line 15.010 Unreserved Fund Balance June 30th - Updated 2013 Forecast	\$831,072	(\$1,204,493)
Change Unreserved Fund Balance	(\$9,431)	(\$242,516)

Notes

There exists the potential that the May 2013 forecast update could result in a positive or negative change to the line 15.010 balance in comparison to the October 2012 forecast.

District Type: Local IRN: 048389 County: Mahoning

Date Submitted: 10/26/2012 Date Processed: 10/29/2012

	-			:	i: :: :: ::		j i Linii 1 Jan	
1.010 General Property (Real Estate)	3,738,944	3,686,189	3,766,681	3,771,23	5 3,738,247	3,762,657	3,809,674	3,835,531
1.020 Tangible Personal Property Tax	323,092							
1.030 Income Tax		68			•	,	.20,.07	150,700
1.035 Unrestricted Grants-in-Aid	11,289,840	11,012,313	11,092,186	11,030,228	3 11,084,127	11,341,932	11.372.486	11.633.638
1.040 Restricted Grants-in-Aid	105,840	109,283						105,313
1.045 Restricted Federal Grants-in-Aid - SFSF	768,233	941,048	718,872		,	•	,	,
1.050 Property Tax Allocation	972,064	828,766	639,521	643,434	643,379	647,804	654,308	661,823
1.060 All Other Operating Revenue	1,832,774	1,794,130	2,038,182	2,077,508	2,095,912		•	•
1.070 Total Revenue	19,030,787	18,707,159	18,721,130	18,006,450	18.059.212	18,401,626		
2.040 Operating Transfers-In	163,132	52,684	183,854	141,322				129,670
2.050 Advances-In	665,802	558,023	524,706	42,956	•	,	127,070	123,070
2.060 All Other Financial Sources	4,414	27,177	87,185	4,295	4,295	4,295	4,295	4,295
2.070 Total Other Financing Sources	833,348	637,884	795,745	188,573		133,965	133,965	133,965
2.080 Total Revenues and Other Financing Sources	19,864,135	19,345,043	19,516,875	18,195,023	18,193,177	18,535,591	18,685,631	19,047,737
3.010 Personnel Services	10,490,834	10,918,170	11,540,185	10,650,579	10,724,700	10,635,939	10,584,262	10.584.262
3.020 Employees' Retirement/Insurance Benefits	3,697,696	3,878,026	4,188,029	4,066,981	4,437,555	4,619,146		5,179,677
3.030 Purchased Services	2,839,625	2,564,571	2,680,050	2,605,754	2,612,401		2,433,743	2,366,328
3.040 Supplies and Materials	749,074	710,494	840,522	758,621	887,259	988,757	1,090,019	1,191,650
3.050 Capital Outlay	315,538	394,885	418,788	260,401	710,759	978,584	1,247,011	1,516,079
4.050 Debt Service: Principal - HB 264 Loans			129,670	129,670	129,670	129,670	129,670	129,670
4.300 Other Objects	239,911	301,787	(458,009)	390,338	277,017	287,084	297,552	308,436
4.500 Total Expenditures	18,332,678	18,767,933	19,339,235	18,862,344	19,779,361	20,165,301	20.684.181	21 276 102
5.010 Operational Transfers - Out	235,581	140,532	252,422	172,810	172,670	172,670	172,670	172,670
5.020 Advances - Out	695,845	546,402	474,944	36,857		,	,	.,_,
5.040 Total Other Financing Uses	931,426	686,934	727,366	209,667	172,670	172,670	172,670	172,670
5.050 Total Expenditure and Other Financing Uses	19,264,104	19,454,867	20,066,601	19,072,011		20,337,971	20.856.851	21 448 772
6.010 Excess Rev & Oth Financing Sources over(under) Exp & Oth Financing		(109,824)	(549,726)			(1,802,380)		
7.010 Beginning Cash Balance	3,812,147	4,412,178	4,302,354	3,752,628	2,875,640		(685,594) (
7.020 Ending Cash Balance	4,412,178	4,302,354	3,752,628	2,875,640	1,116,786		(2,856,814) (
8.010 Outstanding Encumbrances	154,690	146,386	280,529	276,283	276,283	276,283	276,283	276,283
10.010 Fund Balance June 30 for Certification of Appropriations	4,257,488	4,155,968	3,472,099	2,599,357	840,503	•	(3,133,097) (
12.010 Fund Bal June 30 for Cert of Contracts, Salary Sched, Oth Obligations		4,155,968			840,503		3,133,097) (
15.010 Unreserved Fund Balance June 30		4,155,968			840,503		3,133,097) (
							-,,(-,,1)

"Please visit the Ohio Department of Education website at http://www.ode.state.oh.us/GD/Templates/Pages/ODE/ODEPrimary.aspx?page=2 &TopicRelationID=1011 to see the complete forecast assumptions file."

Copyright © 2001 -, Site developed and hosted by



John R. Kasich, Governor Michael L. Sawyers, Acting Superintendent of Public Instruction

November 5, 2012

Dr. Scott R. Weingart, Superintendent
West Branch Local SD
14277 S Main St
Beloit, OH 44609
VIA EMAIL TO wbra srw@access-k12.org

RE: FY15 DEFICIT -- NOTIFICATION

Dear Dr. Scott R. Weingart:

Your district's current five-year forecast projects a negative unreserved fund balance (line 15.01 on the forecast) exceeding 2% of revenue beginning in Fiscal Year 2015. The Ohio Department of Education (ODE) is required, under Section 5705.391 of the Ohio Revised Code, to immediately notify the district and the Auditor of State of the potential deficit. This letter serves as that notification.

In the past, districts were required to submit a written proposal outlining plans to eliminate the projected deficit. We are not requesting a written proposal at this time; however, we encourage you to continue monitoring your district's financial condition, enter into appropriate discussions with Board members and stakeholders, and take the necessary financial actions that will eliminate the projected deficit.

ODE will also continue to monitor the district's financial status and may request a written proposal if the projected deficit continues. Our regional fiscal consultants and area coordinators are ready and willing to assist your district by providing a variety of services. Please contact my office or any of the regional personnel to request assistance.

Sincerely,

Roger W. Hardin, Assistant Director

Roger W. Hard

Quality School Choice & Funding - Finance Program Services

(614) 466-5568

RWH/smh

C: Mr. Daniel Telzrow, Treasurer, VIA EMAIL
Ms. Kathy Frato, Auditor of State Office, VIA EMAIL
Fiscal Consultant, Area 8, VIA EMAIL

File:Dan Telzrow FY 13 Forecast/School Funding Formula Comparison 3/22/2013 10:35

Governor Proposed Funding System Component Comparison Side by Side With Fiscal 2009 School Funding Formula

Funding Levels By Typology

	Typology	Fiscal 2009 Total Aid/Pupil	Fiscal 2014 Total Aid/Pupil	FY 14 Vs FY 09 Total Aid/Pupil	FY 14 Vs FY 09 % Variance
Rural/Agricultural (High Poverty, Low Median Income)	1	\$5,105	\$5,000	(\$105)	-2.10%
Rural/Agricultural (Low Poverty, Low/Moderate Median Income)*	2	\$4,255	\$4,129	(\$126)	-3.05%
Rural/Small Town (Moderate To High Median Income)	3	\$3,267	\$3,095	(\$172)	-5.56%
Urban (Low Median Income, High Poverty)	4	\$4,210	\$4,386	\$176	4.01%
Major Urban (Very High Poverty)	5	\$5,353	\$5,377	\$24	0.45%
Urban/Suburban (High Median Income)	6	\$2,540	\$2,576	\$36	1.40%
Suburban (Very High Median Income, Very Low Poverty)	7	\$1,604	\$1,621	\$17	1.05%
Statewide		\$3,648	\$3,658	\$10	0.27%

Note

^{*}West Branch Local Schools is in Typology 2 Group and will receive \$178 less Aid/Pupil under the Proposal

File: Fiscal 2013 Special Board Meetings/Forecast Improvement Options 11/16/2012

Appropriation History

General Fund All Other Funds Total Year To Year Growth Trend Average Year To Year Growth Trend	Fiscal 2006 \$17,420,000 \$6,215,960 \$23,635,960	Fiscal 2007 \$17,857,776 \$4,396,364 \$22,254,140 -5.85%	Fiscal 2008 \$18,534,532 \$4,144,790 \$22,679,322 1.91%	Fiscal 2009 \$18,740,710 \$3,838,681 \$22,579,391 -0.44%	Fiscal 2010 \$18,000,143 \$4,501,573 \$22,501,716 -0.34%	Fiscal 2011 \$18,714,489 \$6,115,125 \$24,829,614 10.35%	Fiscal 2012 \$19,157,417 \$4,794,491 \$23,951,908 2.37%	Fiscal 2013 \$19,591,946 \$4,220,364 \$23,812,310 2.27% 1.47%
Expenditures Per Pupil West Branch Local (1 of 14 Mahoning County Districts) Ranking (Lowest to Highest in Expenditures) Average Ranking (Lowest to Highest in Expenditures)	\$7,421 3	\$7,664 4	\$7,686 3	\$7,466 2	\$7,774 2	\$8,625 3 2.8	Not Avail Not Avail	Not Avail Not Avail
Youngstown City Western Reserve Local South Range Local Struthers City Campbell City Poland Local Austintown Local Jackson Milton Local Canfield Local	\$14,630 \$7,416 \$7,926 \$7,614 \$8,697 \$8,157 \$8,368 \$8,584 \$7,878 \$8,406	\$15,386 \$7,506 \$9,478 \$7,526 \$9,792 \$8,127 \$8,503 \$8,965 \$7,878 \$8,529	\$13,302 \$7,831 \$8,594 \$7,225 \$9,997 \$8,401 \$8,575 \$9,361 \$8,105 \$8,861	\$13,283 \$8,194 \$8,955 \$7,498 \$10,066 \$8,304 \$8,349 \$8,555 \$8,307 \$8,532	\$13,823 \$8,741 \$9,140 \$8,164 \$10,940 \$8,874 \$8,263 \$9,485 \$8,747 \$8,740	\$15,408 \$9,023 \$9,964 \$8,920 \$10,928 \$9,325 \$8,240 \$9,536 \$8,905 \$8,662	Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail	Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail
Boardman Local Lowellville Local Sebring Local Springfield Local	\$8,406 \$6,392 \$8,284 \$7,615	\$8,529 \$7,110 \$8,305 \$7,729	\$8,861 \$7,336 \$8,879 \$8,174	\$8,532 \$7,108 \$9,368 \$8,203	\$8,740 \$7,660 \$9,484 \$8,584	\$8,662 \$8,062 \$10,215 \$9,132	Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail	Not Avail Not Avail Not Avail